2004 MEASURE P FUND ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT AND PERFORMANCE AUDIT

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

2004 MEASURE P FUND ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings

TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2015

FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report	1
2004 Measure P Fund	
Balance Sheet	3
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	4
Notes to Financial Statements	5
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing</i> Standards	12
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	
Financial Statement Findings	15

16



Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co., LLP Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Governing Board and Citizens' Oversight Committee Sunnyvale School District Sunnyvale, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Sunnyvale School District's (the District), 2004 Measure P Fund (the Fund), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015 and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of financial statements, whether due to error or fraud. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to previously present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Fund as of June 30, 2015, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the financial position of the Fund and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 4, 2015, on our consideration of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered with the results of our audit.

Vavsinek, Trine, Jay & Co, LLP Palo Alto, California

December 4, 2015

BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2015

ASSETS	
Deposits and investments	\$ 1,020,422
Interest receivable	1,410
Due from other funds	5,350
Total Assets	\$ 1,027,182
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES LIABILITIES Vendors payable Total Liabilities	\$ 1,027,182 1,027,182
FUND BALANCE	
Restricted	<u> </u>
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$ 1,027,182

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

REVENUES	
Interest income	\$ 12,793
Other local revenue	58
Total Revenues	12,851
EXPENDITURES	
Current expenditures	
Classified salaries	\$ 148,813
Employee benefits	49,720
Materials and supplies	46,322
Services and other operating expenses	78,510
Capital outlay	 5,139,851
Total Expenditures	5,463,216
EXCESS OF EXPENDITURES OVER REVENUES	(5,450,365)
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING	5,450,365
FUND BALANCE - ENDING	\$ _

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the 2004 Measure P Fund (the Fund) of the Sunnyvale School District conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

Financial Reporting Entity

The financial statements include the financial activity of the Fund only. The Fund was established to account for the expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under the Measure P bond election of 2004. These financial statements are not intended to present the financial position and results of operations of the Sunnyvale School District as a whole, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The bonds were authorized for \$120,000,000 for the purpose of financing the addition and modernization of school facilities. The first series were issued on March 17, 2005 for the amount of \$35,000,000. The second series were issued on May 30, 2007 for the amount of \$30,000,000. The third series were issued on March 4, 2010 for the amount of \$35,000,000. The fourth series were issued on February 2, 2012 for the amount of \$19,767,843.

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Fund are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to and accounted for in the fund based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The financial statements of the Fund are accounted for using the flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Using this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities are included in the balance sheet. Long-term debt is not included as a liability of the Fund, but is disclosed separately in the notes to financial statements. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred (when goods are received or services rendered).

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for all governmental funds. The District's governing board adopts an operating budget no later than July 1 in accordance with State law. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements. The Board revises this budget during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

Encumbrances

The Fund utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances lapse at June 30.

Fund Balance - Governmental Funds

As of June 30, 2015, fund balance of the Fund is classified as restricted for capital outlay projects in relation to the bond language of the 2004 Measure P; for which the Fund was established.

Restricted fund balance is the amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 - DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

Summary of Deposits and Investments

Deposits and investments as of June 30, 2015, consist of cash on hand and in banks, and investments in the amount of \$1,020,422.

Policies and Practices

The Fund and the District are authorized under the California Government Code to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State: U.S. Treasury instrument; registered State warrants or treasury notes: securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreement; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security, and collateralized mortgage obligations.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

Investment in County Treasury

The Fund is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the Fund is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the Fund's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statements at amounts based upon the Fund's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

The investments at June 30, 2015 had reported and fair values as follows:

	Reported				Fair
	Amount			Value	
Deposits with county treasurer	\$	1,020,422		\$	1,020,940

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The Fund manages its exposure to interest rate risk by depositing substantially all of its cash in the County Pool. The fair value of this investment is approximately \$1,020,422 with an average maturity of 469 days.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. The Fund does not have investments that are rated.

Custodial Risk

This is the risk that, in the event of failure of the counterparty, the District will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The Fund does not have investments that are subject to custodial risk.

NOTE 3 - GENERAL LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-Term Debt Summary

Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, liabilities for long-term debt are reported in the Government-wide Statement of Net Assets of the District rather than in the Bond or other funds. Therefore, the debt for repayment of the Measure P bonds and the amount available in the Bond Interest and Redemption fund for repayment are not included on these financial statements.

The District received authorization by approval of the voters of Measure P at the November 2004 election to issue \$120,000,000 in bonds. On March 17, 2005, the District issued \$35,000,000 of current interest serial bonds with principal and interest payments due annually through September 2029 with interest rates varying from 3 to 6 percent. On May 30, 2007, the District issued \$30,000,000 of current interest serial bonds with principal and interest payments due annually through September 2031 with interest rates varying from 4 to 4.5 percent. On March 4, 2010, the District issued \$35,000,000 of current interest serial bonds with principal and interest payments due annually through September 2034 with interest rates varying from 4.25 to 5 percent. On February 2, 2012, the District issued \$5,000,000 of current interest rates varying from 4.25 to 5 percent. On February 2, 2012, the District issued \$14,767,843 of capital appreciation serial bonds with principal and interest payments due annually through September 2042 with interest rates varying from 3 to 11 percent. On June 30, 2015, The District issued 2015 Refunding Bonds to refund remaining outstanding balance of 2004A, 2007B, 2010C and partial 2012D capital appreciation bonds. The bonds will be repaid through property taxes. The bonds were sold at a premium which was used to pay for costs of issuance. The balance of the premium was properly deposited into the Debt Service Fund in accordance with Bond requirements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

Bonded Debt

The Measure P outstanding general obligation bonded debt is as follows:

				Bonds			Bonds
Issue	Maturity	Interest	Original	Outstanding	Issued /	Defeased/	Outstanding
Date	Date	Rate	Issue	July 1, 2014	Accreted	Redeemed	June 30, 2015
2004 A	9/1/29	3.0-6.0%	\$ 35,000,000	\$ 32,335,000	\$ -	\$ 32,335,000	\$ -
2007 B	9/1/31	4.0-4.5%	30,000,000	28,315,000	-	28,315,000	=
2010 C	9/1/34	4.25-4.5%	35,000,000	35,000,000	-	35,000,000	-
2012 D	9/1/42	4.0%	5,000,000	5,000,000	-	-	5,000,000
2012 D	9/1/42	3.0-11.0%	14,767,843	17,071,490	991,231	17,734,221	328,500
2015 Ref	9/1/35	2.0-5.0%	110,610,000		110,610,000		110,610,000
				\$ 117,721,490	\$ 111,601,231	\$ 113,384,221	\$ 115,938,500

Debt Service Requirements to Maturity

The bonds mature through 2043 as follows:

		Interest to					
Fiscal Year	Principal			Maturity		Total	
2016	\$	1,330,000	\$	3,551,529	\$	4,881,529	
2017		-		5,199,700		5,199,700	
2018		-		5,199,700		5,199,700	
2019		550,000		5,185,950		5,735,950	
2020		995,000		5,147,325		6,142,325	
2021-2025		10,630,000		24,489,000		35,119,000	
2026-2030		20,645,000		20,605,375		41,250,375	
2031-2035		25,111,590		15,995,660		41,107,250	
2036-2040		32,004,230		9,790,270		41,794,500	
2041-2043		24,575,000		1,512,100		26,087,100	
Subtotal		115,840,820	\$	96,676,609	\$	212,517,429	
Unmatured Accretion to date		97,680				 -	
Net general obligation bonds	\$	115,938,500					

Repayment of the Bonds will be funded by a separate property tax override levied on property residing within the District boundaries. Property tax revenues will be collected and disbursed out of a separate Bond Interest and Redemption Fund under the control of the Santa Clara County Controller's Office. This fund is not included as part of these financial statements. General school district revenues will not be required to fund the debt service on the bonds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

NOTE 4 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES


Litigation

The District is not currently a party to any legal proceedings.

NOTE 5 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Management has evaluated events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements from the balance sheet dated December 4, 2015, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued. Management has determined that there were no subsequent events or transactions that would have a material impact on the current year financial statements.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT





INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Trustees and Citizens' Oversight Committee Sunnyvale School District Sunnyvale, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the accompanying financial statements of the Sunnyvale School District (the District) 2004 Measure P Fund (the Fund), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and have issued our report thereon dated December 4, 2015. As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Fund specific to the 2004 Measure P, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of Sunnyvale School District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Fund's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Fund's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Fund's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Fund's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Palo Alto, California December 4, 2015

Varsinek, Trine, Day & Co, LLD


SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2015

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2015

None reported.



2004 MEASURE P FUND PERFORMANCE AUDIT

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independent Auditor's Report on Performance	1
Authority for Issuance	2
Purpose of Issuance	2
Authority for the Audit	2
Objectives of the Audit	3
Scope of the Audit	3
Procedures Performed	3
Conclusion	4
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	5



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Governing Board and Citizens' Oversight Committee Sunnyvale School District Sunnyvale, California

Vavsinek, Trine, Day & Co, LLP

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of the funds related to the 2004 Measure P (the Fund) of the Sunnyvale School District (the District) for the year ended June 30, 2015.


We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the Fund's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the Fund's internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the Fund's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1 (b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion of the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended the 2004 Measure P funds only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1 (b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

Palo Alto, California December 4, 2015



2004 MEASURE P FUND JUNE 30, 2015

AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE

The 2004 Measure P General Obligation Bonds are issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California Education Code, and other applicable provisions of law. The Series A Bonds were authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County on March 1, 2005 (the Resolution), pursuant to a request by the District made by a resolution adopted by the Board of Education of the District in February 17, 2005. The Series B Bonds were authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County on April 24, 2007, pursuant to a request of the District made by a resolution adopted by the Board of Education of the District on April 5, 2007. The Series C Bonds were authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County on January 26, 2010 (the Resolution), pursuant to a request of the District made by a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County pursuant to a request of the District made by a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County pursuant to a request of the District made by a resolution adopted by the Board of Education of the District on February 2, 2012.

The District received authorization at an election held on November 2, 2004, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$120,000,000 to finance specific construction and renovation projects approved by eligible voters within the District. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District (the Authorization).

PURPOSE OF ISSUANCE

The net proceeds of the Bonds and any other series of general obligation bonds issued under the Authorization will be used for the purposes specified in the District bond proposition submitted at the Election, which include replacing gas, water, and sewer lines, improving and expanding school libraries, installing energy efficient heating and cooling systems, replacing portables with permanent classrooms, expanding school facilities, and making essential school improvements. An excerpt from 2004 Measure P states:

"To maintain a safe learning environment at Sunnyvale's elementary and middle schools by replacing 40 year old gas, water and sewer lines; improving and expanding school libraries; installing energy efficient heating and cooling systems; replacing portables with permanent classrooms; expanding school facilities to relieve overcrowding; and making essential school improvements shall Sunnyvale School District issue \$120 million in bonds at legal rates, with citizen oversight, no money for administrators and all funds staying locally."

AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in Education Code Sections 15278-15282:

2004 MEASURE P FUND JUNE 30, 2015

- 1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.
- 2. The school district must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the project list.
- 3. Requires the school district to appoint a citizens' oversight committee.
- 4. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
- 5. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT

- 1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of 2004 Measure P.
- 2. Determine whether salary transactions, charged to the Fund were in support of the 2004 Measure P and not for District general administration or operations.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2015 were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 for the 2004 Measure P Fund. Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Measure P as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

2004 MEASURE P FUND JUNE 30, 2015

- 1. We selected a sample of expenditures for the period starting July 1, 2014 and ending June 30, 2015, and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the specific projects listed in the ballot text.
- 2. Our sample included 8 transactions totaling \$4,674,160. This represents 89 percent of the total expenditures of \$5,264,683.
- 3. We verified that funds were generally expended for the construction, renovation, furnishing and equipping of District facilities constituting authorized bond projects. In addition, we verified that funds held in the Fund were used for salaries of administrators only to the extent they perform administrative oversight work on construction projects as allowable per Opinion 04-110 issued on November 9, 2004 by the State of California Attorney General.

CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Sunnyvale School District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Fund and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects. Further, it was noted that funds held in the Fund, and expended by the District, were used for salaries of administrators only to the extent they perform administrative oversight work on construction projects as allowable per Opinion 04-110 issued on November 9, 2004 by the State of California Attorney General.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2015

None reported.